Quality Characteristics of Frankfurters Formulated with Apricot Pomace Obtained from Apricot Juice Processing

Çilem Purma Adıbelli¹, Meltem Serdaroğlu²*

¹Istanbul Directorate of Provincial Food Agriculture and Livestock- Caddebostan District, 34728 Kadıköy/Istanbul, Turkey
²Food Engineering Department, Engineering faculty, Ege University, 35100 Bornova/Izmir, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO
Research Article
Received 29 September 2016
Accepted 09 January 2017

ABSTRACT
In this study the effects of dried apricot pomace (AP) on the technological, nutritional and sensory quality of frankfurters were investigated. Frankfurters formulated with 5% AP showed better quality compared to the addition of 10 and 15% AP. Protein and fat content decreased as the concentration of added AP was over 5%. AP addition resulted in lower pH and energy values. Frankfurters formulated with AP had higher cooking and process yield values. AP addition resulted with decrement in lightness and increment in yellowness of samples. 5% addition of AP resulted in good sensory scores. The results indicate that apricot pomace could be an effective functional ingredient in emulsion type meat products.
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Introduction
Fruits and vegetables occupy an important role in human nutrition as they provide essential minerals, vitamins, dietary fiber and phenolic compounds that are natural antioxidants (Grigelmo-Miguel et al., 1999a). Extension of meat and meat products with vegetables, fruits, and their fibers could reduce production costs and improve the technological and nutritional quality. However, the relevance of fruits and vegetables in the processing of meat products relates to their functional properties such as water binding, fat emulsification, yield and sensory properties.

Garcia et al. (2002) investigated the cereal and fruit fibers in dry fermented sausages and reported that, fruit fibers gave the best results particularly orange fiber was beneficial in keeping the sensory properties. Sausages with the addition of 2.5% and 5% raw lemon albedo and 2.5%, 5% and 7.5% cooked albedo showed sensory properties similar to conventional sausages (Ferna´nde-Gine´s et al., 2004).

The use of peach dietary fiber as a fat substitute could be a good alternative to offer both low fat and high dietary fiber meat products since it has a high water binding capacity (Grigelmo-Miguel et al., 1999b). Besides their fibers, various fresh or dried vegetable and fruits have been used in low fat meat products as a filler and fiber source.

Good colour development, high scores for appearance and flavour have been reported by Fista et al. (2004) for Greek traditional sausages formulated with 24% leek. Addition of 2% carrot and 10% spinach improved the oxidative stability of poultry hamburgers (Pizzocaro et al., 1998). The sensory quality of the hamburgers remains good up to dried tomato peel concentrations of 4.5% (w/w) (García et al., 2009). Kimchi powder at a level of 2% increased emulsion stability and improved cooking characteristics of breakfast sausages (Lee et al., 2008). It has been showed that 5% or 10% plum puree improved cooking characteristics of beef patties without any detrimental effects on sensory properties (Yildiz-Turp and Serdaroglu, 2010). Low fat pork sausage with tomato powder up to 1.5% was found to be well acceptable up to 30 days at refrigerated storage (Kim et al., 2011). Guava powder increased dietary fiber, reduced emulsion stability in chicken nuggets (Verma and Sahoo, 2000). The addition of up to 15% date paste to the formulation of bologna- type products was shown to enhance the nutritional and technological quality together with a satisfactory sensory quality (Sánchez-Zapata et al., 2011).

Turkey is the leading fresh and dried apricot producer in the World and produced about 811,609 metric ton apricot annually (FAO, 2015). Some part of the harvest is processed to obtain apricot juice. Therefore, apricot juice
processing produces a considerable amount of by-products, unfortunately, these by-products are generally used in animal feeding or fertilizer. To the best of our knowledge, only limited research is available regarding the functional and technological characteristics of apricot pomace. However apricot pomace could also be useful to the food industry as a source of functional ingredient due to its fiber content and phenolic compounds. The objectives of this study were to characterize the functional properties of apricot pomace and to evaluate the possible use of dried apricot pomace in frankfurter type sausages.

Materials and methods

Apricot Pomace (AP) Preparation

Frozen apricot pomace (waste of apricot juice production) was supplied from a fruit juice company (Anadolu Etap Penkon Gıda ve Tarım Ür. AŞ. Mersin Turkey). After defrosting at 4°C for 24 h, pomace was washed (4L of water per 1 kg), then pressed to drain excess water and dried to 9% moisture at 50°C in a drum drier. A grinder mill (Brook Crompton Series 2000, UK) was used to obtain a fine powder.

Frankfurter Formulation and Processing

Standard manufacturing practices and equipment were used in frankfurter processing. Formulations were calculated to yield a 7 kg batch. Base ingredients were lean beef (70.5% moisture, 24.9% protein, 3.6% fat and 1.1% ash) and beef fat. Frankfurters were manufactured according to a standard formulation; 80% lean beef, 20% beef fat, 15% ice flake, 4% corn starch, 2.5% sodium chloride, 500 mg/kg sodium tripolyphosphate, 500 mg/kg sodium ascorbate, 150 mg/kg sodium nitrite and 2% spices. This standard formulation was used as a control sample (no added apricot pomace) and for the other formulations AP was added at a level of 5, 10 or 15%.

Frankfurter batter was prepared by initially chopping lean meat with one half of the ice and curing ingredients in a silent cutter (Alpina-SG Schweiz, Suffolk,UK) for 4 min to extract salt soluble proteins and then fat, spices, corn starch and AP together with the reminder ice were added and the batter was chopped for 8 min more, total processing time was 12 minutes.

The batter was filled into 15 cm long and 1.2 cm diameter collagen casings and clpped both sides (FV-20.84/305, E2-Sign, EPECH-Neutre plisse) and placed into a smoking chamber (Afos Mini Kiln,Hull, UK)) and dried at 40°C for 1 h then smoked at 40°C for 45 min. Frankfurters were then heat processed at 80°C water to a core temperature of 73°C. After cooking process frankfurters were showered with cold water, vacuum packed in polyethylene bags and stored at 4°C for 5 months.

Physicochemical analyses carried on dried apricot pomace

Water absorption capacity (WAC) was determined according to Mac Connell et al., (1974). One gram of sample was stirred with 15 ml of distilled water in a 50 ml centrifuge tube and soaked overnight at room temperature. Then the mixture was centrifuged at 15,000 g for 15 min. The free water was discarded and the absorbed water was weighted. WAC was expressed as the gram of water per gram of dry powder.

Oil absorption capacity (OAC) determined by using modified method of Lin et al. (1974). 1 g AP was mixed with 10 ml sunflower oil in a centrifuge tube. The content was stirred for 30 min in the vortex mixer, then tubes were centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min with 3000 g. The supernatant was removed with a pipette and tubes were inverted for 25 min to drain the oil and the residue weighed (Wr). The OAC was expressed as grams of oil bound per gram of the sample on a dry basis. OAC was calculated by the equation given below.

\[ \text{OAC} (g/g) = \frac{W_r}{W_i} \]

Where \( W_i \) was the sample weight (g)

The swelling capacity (SC) was determined according to Guillon and Champ (2000). One gram of sample was weighed in a graduated cylinder and left to swell overnight at room temperature after adding 15 ml of distilled water. SC was expressed as ml of swolllen sample per gram of dry initial matter. \( \text{pH} \) measurement was carried out according to Lee et al. (2008). 10 g of AP was mixed with 100 ml distilled water and then \( \text{pH} \) was measured.

Proximate Analysis and \( \text{pH} \) of Frankfurter Samples

Protein, moisture, and ash content were analyzed following AOAC (2000) procedures. Fat content was determined according to Flynn and Bramblett (1975). \( \text{pH} \) was measured directly (WTW pH meter, Germany) by using a glass electrode (Landvogt, 1991).

Energy Value

Total calories (kcal) were calculated in relation to samples of 100 g using the Atwater values corresponding to fat (9 kcal/g), protein (4.02 kcal/g) and carbohydrates (3.87 kcal/g). Caloric value of SDF (soluble dietary fibre) was estimated to be 2 kcal/g.

Production Yield

The weight of samples after filling and after cooling were recorded and production yield was expressed as a percentage difference between the raw and cooked weights.

Jelly and Fat Separation

Jelly and fat separation was measured as described by Bloukas and Honikel (1992). Pre-weighed glass jars were filled with 200 g frankfurter batter, then closed and cooked at 90°C in a water bath for 35 min. Jars were cooled under tap water then stored at 4°C for 24 h to facilitate the fat and jelly separation. After warming up the jars in a water bath at 45°C for 1 h, the fluid in each jar was collected into a volumetric cylinder. The fluid jelly and fat, separated in the volumetric cylinder, were measured in ml and calculated as a percent of the original weight of batter. The mean value of three jars was taken for each treatment.
**Expressible Moisture**

A filter press technique was used to determine expressible moisture of cooked Frankfurters (Zayas and Lin, 1998). Measurements were carried on 4 samples for each treatment.

**Purge Loss**

Purge loss was determined according to Bloukas et al. (1997). Samples were patted dry with paper towel, weighed and packaged under vacuum. After the storage at 4°C for 7 days, frankfurters were removed from the package, again patted dry and reweighed. Purge loss was determined from the difference in weights between the two measurements expressed as a percentage of initial weight. The mean value of three sample packages was taken for each treatment.

**Consumer Cooking Loss**

The samples were cut into 3 cm pieces and cooked in a pre-heated electric grill (Arçelik, İstanbul) 3 min for each surface. The difference before and after weights was recorded and total cooking loss was calculated. Measurements were carried on 4 samples for each treatment.

**Colour**

Colour parameters of L* (lightness), a* (redness) and b* (yellowness) on the inner and the outer surfaces of frankfurters were measured using a colour difference meter (Chroma Meter CR-300, Konica Minolta, Sensing, Inc., Sakai, Japan). Ten samples from each treatment were analyzed, and the average value was determined by taking observations from five different locations on a given sample.

**TBARS Analysis**

2-Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) were determined on freshly prepared samples (0th day) and stored samples (60th days of storage at 4°C) according to Kirk and Sawyer (1991). Sample absorbance was measured spectrophotometrically (Cary 50 Bio, UV Vis Spectrophotometer, Varian Instruments, Australia). A conversion factor of 7.8 was used for the calculation of TBARS. Each sample was analyzed in duplicate and results were expressed as 2-thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) as mg malonaldehyde (ma)/kg sample.

**Texture Evaluation**

Elasticity, hardness, and puncture resistant were measured using Instron Universal Testing Machine (Model 1140, Minnesota, USA) after 24 h of production. Measurements were made on 1.5 cm height and 1.75 cm diameter frankfurters. 3.5 cm diameter rod, 0.75 cm compression height and 20 kg force were used for analysis. 200 mm/min cross-head speed and 500 mm/min writer speed were chosen. Measurements were carried on 4 samples for each treatment.

**Sensory evaluation**

Samples from each formulation were randomly assigned for sensory evaluation after 24 h of production. 2.5 cm samples were fried in pre-heated Teflon pan for 3 minutes and served warm to a 8 member panel. At each session, three samples were served immediately to panellists and were subjected to sensory evaluation for appearance, juiciness, texture, flavour intensity and overall acceptability. Samples were evaluated by using a 9-point hedonic scale (1=dislike extremely, 2=dislike very much, 3=dislike moderately, 4=dislike slightly, 5=neither like nor dislike, 6=like slightly, 7=like moderately, 8=like very much, 9=like extremely). The mean score for each attribute is reported. Water and bread were served to cleanse the mouth between the samples.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data was analysed by one way and two way ANOVA by using the SPSS software version (SPSS, 2000). Differences (P<0.05) among the means were compared using Duncan’s Multiple Range test.

**Results and Discussion**

**Functional Properties and pH of Apricot Pomace**

The apricot pomace (AP) showed a WAC value of 1.2 g water/g sample. When comparing the WAC reported in our study with other fruit pomaces it is comparable with AP (1.62–1.87 g water/g sample) (Figueroa et al., 2005) and lower than peach pomace (9.2–12.1 g water/g sample) (Grigelno-Miguel et al., 1999a) and pineapple pomace (5.32 g water/g sample) (Selania et al., 2014). These differences could be due to fruit species and processing methods. AP had a value of 2.3 g oil/g sample OAC. Ingredients with a high OAC allow the stabilization of high fat food products and emulsions (Kuntz, 1994). OAC was comparable with those found in the literature for pineapple pomace (Selania et al., 2014) and pear pomace (Aguedo et al., 2012). AP had 3.4 ml/g SC. SC was lower than those of pear and apple pomace (7.0–5.9 ml/g ) reported by (Aguedo et al., 2012). pH of AP was recorded as 4.9.

**Proximate Analysis, pH and Energy Value**

The proximate composition, pH and energy values of frankfurters are presented in Table 1. Moisture content changed from 55.27 to 56.25%, protein levels ranged 15.46 to 16.68%, moreover fat content changed 15.58 to 18.03% and ash content changed 2.13 to 2.33%. Incorporation of AP slightly affected the chemical composition of the final product (P<0.05) which was in agreement with Lee et al. (2008) who reported a small effect in chemical composition with the addition of kimchi powder in the formulation of breakfast sausages. Fat and protein contents of sausages were within the limits of the Turkish Sausage Standard (TSE, 2016). The pH values of the frankfurters ranged from 5.95 to 6.13. AP addition decreased pH values (P<0.05) of frankfurter samples, this decrement in pH could be attributed to the acid nature of AP (pH 4.9). Similar results were reported...
previously (Eyiler and Öztan, 2011; Kim et al., 2011) in sausages formulated with different amounts of tomato powder and raw pork burgers formulated with passion fruit albedo (López-Vargas et al., 2014). However, Savadkoohi et al. (2014), reported no changes in pH values of sausages formulated with tomato pomace. According to Turkish Food Codex legislation, the highest pH value of frankfurter type sausages should be 6.40 (Anonymous, 2000). The pH values of frankfurters in this study were in the limits that indicated in legislation.

As expected, decreasing levels of fat content with the addition of AP resulted lower energy values (p<0.05), frankfurters formulated with 15% AP had the lowest energy value. Similar results were obtained by Turhan et al. (2005) for meatballs formulated with hazelnut pellicle. Garcia et al. (2002) obtained 35% reductions in energy value of fermented sausages with added white fiber.

**Hydration and Binding Properties**

Functional properties have been correlated with the quality of fiber source. Processes such as grinding, drying, heating or extrusion cooking, might modify the physical properties of the fiber matrix and also affect the hydration properties (Femenia et al., 2000). Results regarding the processing yield, jelly and fat separation, consumer cooking loss and expressible moisture are given in Table 2.

Process yield is a practical method for determining the weight loss of meat products during processing steps such as cooking and smoking (Candoğan and Kolsarici, 2003a). Process yield varied between 90.8-93.7% and the addition of 10 or 15% AP increased process yield of frankfurters (P<0.05). Process yield has been reported between 80 to 98 % for low fat frankfurters (Candoğan and Kolsarici, 2003b; Luruena et al., 2004; Jiménez-Colmenero et al., 2005).

Ingredient based fibers increase process yield of meat products, by holding more water in their structure. The increase in process yield with AP addition due to less cook loss during processing can be attributed to improvement of the hydration and binding properties of the product. It is likely that the major contribution to water binding was due to its high dietary fiber content and thus high water absorption.

Jelly and fat separation values changed between 9.7 to 11.5% (Table 2). Separation of jelly and fat from frankfurter batters was higher in 15% AP added samples than the other treatment groups, that could be explained by the decrease in protein content may affect emulsification capacity. Since the most important factor that affects the water holding capacity of emulsion type meat products is the protein content when protein content increases, the polypeptide location that can be interact with heat process increases and causes a stable gel matrix (Pietraski, 1999). This property provides an increment in water and fat binding capability. Yilmaz (2004) pointed out that the suitable amount of rye bran added to low-fat meatballs was no more than 10%. Contradictory findings obtained in which vegetable and fruit powders were incorporated in meat products resulted in good binding properties (Lee et al., 2008).

Loss of water from meat products after thermal processing negatively affects quality parameters such as tenderness, juiciness, texture and flavour. Consumer cooking loss ranged between 8.4 to 10.3 % (Table 2), AP addition resulted decrement (P<0.05) in consumer cooking loss. Since AP has water and oil absorption capacity due to its soluble and insoluble components, decrement in cooking loss due to AP addition appears to be related to its fat and water binding capacity. Similar results have been reported by the addition of kimchi powder (Lee et al, 2008) and plum puree (Yildiz-Turp and Serdaroglu, 2010) increased cooking yield in meat products.

Expressible water content changed between 41.4-49.6% (Table 2). WHC referred to the ability of a given structure to prevent water from being released, thus a lower amount of expressible water reflected a greater WHC. The results from the present study demonstrated that expressible moisture of samples added with 15% AP showed better performance on holding water in frankfurters (P<0.05).

### Table 1 Chemical composition, pH and energy values of frankfurter samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Moisture%</th>
<th>Protein%</th>
<th>Fat %</th>
<th>Ash %</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Energy (kcal/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>56.3 ±0.03</td>
<td>16.7 ±0.76</td>
<td>18.0 ±1.01</td>
<td>2.1 ±0.01</td>
<td>6.13 ±0.04</td>
<td>229.3 ±0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5AP</td>
<td>55.7 ±0.98</td>
<td>16.7 ±0.71</td>
<td>17.1 ±0.76</td>
<td>2.1 ±0.08</td>
<td>6.07 ±0.99</td>
<td>221.1 ±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10AP</td>
<td>55.9 ±0.65</td>
<td>15.9 ±0.08</td>
<td>16.2 ±0.03</td>
<td>2.3 ±0.11</td>
<td>6.02 ±0.22</td>
<td>209.4 ±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15AP</td>
<td>55.3 ±0.09</td>
<td>15.5 ±0.76</td>
<td>15.6 ±0.32</td>
<td>2.3 ±0.99</td>
<td>5.95 ±0.11</td>
<td>202.4 ±0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a-b means within a column with different letters are significantly different, AP: Apricot pomace, CON: Frankfurters formulated without AP, 5AP: Frankfurters formulated with 5% AP, 10AP: Frankfurters formulated with 10% AP, 15AP: Frankfurters formulated with 15% AP

### Table 2 Hydration and binding properties of frankfurter samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Cooking loss (%)</th>
<th>Process yield (%)</th>
<th>Jelly and fat separation (%)</th>
<th>Expressible moisture (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>10.3 ±0.98</td>
<td>90.8 ±1.12</td>
<td>9.7 ±0.65</td>
<td>83.1 ±0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5AP</td>
<td>10.0 ±0.05</td>
<td>90.8 ±0.05</td>
<td>9.8 ±0.21</td>
<td>81.3 ±0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10AP</td>
<td>9.1 ±1.22</td>
<td>92.8 ±0.98</td>
<td>9.7 ±0.76</td>
<td>80.0 ±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15AP</td>
<td>8.4 ±0.98</td>
<td>93.7 ±0.22</td>
<td>11.5 ±0.09</td>
<td>78.2 ±0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a-b Means within a column with different letters are significantly different, AP: Apricot pomace, CON: Frankfurters formulated without AP, 5AP: Frankfurters formulated with 5% AP, 10AP: Frankfurters formulated with 10% AP, 15AP: Frankfurters formulated with 15% AP
Purge loss from vacuum packaged frankfurters during storage are seen in Table 3. Storage time and AP addition significantly affected (P<0.05) purge loss. Releasing water in packages increased with the increasing storage period in all formulations. On the 1st and 2nd months increasing AP concentration resulted in an increment in purge loss, however on 4th and 5th months AP addition resulted in lower purge loss. On 4th and 5th months, the highest purge loss was found for control and 5AP samples. Obtained data showed that the matrix formed in those meat and fiber networks had a greater ability to entrap water than that control. Purge loss reducing effect of AP might be due to the stability of pectin content. Candogan and Kolsarici (2003b) reported the purge loss reducing effect of pectin gel in low fat frankfurters during storage. Proteins which provide the emulsion should lose their ability to bind water with time so increment in purge loss was recorded for all samples with increasing storage time. The increase in purge loss with storage time was in agreement with the findings of Bloukas and Paneras (1993) and Candogan and Kolsarici (2003b) in low-fat frankfurters.

### Colour

Color is one of the main parameters determining consumer acceptance of meat products. In reformulated products, there is a strong relation between colour parameters, fat, water, and pigment contents. Outer L*, a* and b* values of samples are seen in Table 4. AP addition and storage period significantly affected L* values (P<0.05) on the 1st and 2nd and 4th months of storage. L* values increased with the increasing amounts of AP. The addition of AP resulted in dilution of cured pigment and less intense colour. During the storage period, there was a significant decrement in L* values of 10AP and 15AP samples, Frankfurters added 5% AP had more stable colour during storage period than other treatments. This suggests that AP losses colour with increasing storage period and as a result samples become darker. Kim et al. (2011) reported that changes in colour parameters in frankfurters formulated with tomato powder related to the added amount. Storage period and AP addition did not affect (P>0.05) outer redness (a* value) of samples, a* values changed between 12.9 to 13.4 on the 1st month of storage and changed between 12.9 to 13.4 at the end of the storage period. Yellowness (b*) values increased with increasing AP concentration, this increment in b* values could be due to the carotenoids present in apricot pomace. Choi et al. (2009) reported that meat batters with added rice bran had lower lightness and redness values and higher yellowness than control samples. These results are consistent with those obtained by other researchers (Lee et al., 2008; Viuda-Martos et al., 2010a). While storage time did not affect b* values of control and 5AP samples (P>0.05) increment in yellowness with storage time was only significant for 10AP and 15AP samples (P<0.05). This suggests that there was an interference between the effects produced by these ingredients in meat matrix which can be affected by storage.
Inner colour parameters can be seen in Table 5. AP addition generally decreased L* and increased b* values (P<0.05) however had no effect on a* values of samples (P>0.05). Fernandez-Gines et al. (2004) reported that increase of albedo concentration reduced lightness in Bolognas. Similar results were taken by Mittal and Barbut (1996) for carboxymethyl cellulose added group had lower lightness values than other groups. Grigelmo-Miguel et al. (1999b) obtained that a* values of frankfurters with peach dietary fiber were increased with increasing fiber content. L* and b* values of frankfurter samples affected by storage period, due to the purge loss and interference between the ingredients in meat matrix resulted slightly decrement in L* and b* values of frankfurter samples.

**Texture evaluation**

The addition of dietary fibers to foods modifies the texture and stability in ways determined by the processing conditions, but the mechanisms differ depending on the solubility of the fibers. Insoluble fibers can influence food texture (Thebault et al., 1997).

Table 6 shows the effect of AP addition on textural properties of frankfurter samples. Results indicated that AP significantly affected (P<0.05), the textural properties, comparing the control it could be observed that hardness, elasticity, and puncture resistant values were lower for the frankfurters formulated with AP. The addition of AP resulted in less hard and chewy texture than the control. The decrease in hardness and puncture resistance values could be explained by the presence of 15% AP damaged protein –water and protein-protein gel network. Similarly, Claus and Hunt (1991) found that using 3.5% oat fiber caused a decrease in elasticity values of the sausages. Kim et al. (2011) reported a marked decrease in springiness values when tomato powder added to sausages at a level of 1.5%. The decrement in hardness with the addition AP is not desirable because hardness is an important textural attribute in the determination of acceptable sausages (Pereira et al., 2011). Depending on the amount and type of fiber, controversial results have been reported on hardness, both hardening and softening in texture have been observed when the fiber is added to various cooked meat products (Serdaroğlu et al., 2005; Viuda-Martos et al., 2010b; López-Vargas et al., 2014). The addition of AP at a level of 10 or 5% did not cause any negative effect on the texture properties of Frankfurters. Reported findings have been contradictory for various reasons, but it has generally been found that the addition of different kinds of fiber (soy, wheat, cereal and fruit) to cooked meat emulsions increase hardness (Fermandez-Gine’s et al., 2005; Jiménez Colmenero et al., 2005; Cofrades et al., 2008;)

**TBARS Values**

Lipid oxidation is one of the main limiting factors for the quality and acceptability of meat and meat products (Kanner, 2004). Table 7 shows in TBARS values on 0th and 60th days. Initial TBARS values changed between 0.37-0.55 mg ma/kg. During storage TBARS values of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Elasticity (cm)</th>
<th>Hardness(kg)</th>
<th>Puncture resistant (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>0.3±0.43</td>
<td>15.0±0.09</td>
<td>15.7±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5AP</td>
<td>0.2±0.65</td>
<td>15.3±0.11</td>
<td>15.2±0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10AP</td>
<td>0.13±0.33</td>
<td>14.4±0.65</td>
<td>15.0±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15AP</td>
<td>0.07±1.22</td>
<td>9.7±0.21</td>
<td>9.4±1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 TBARS values of frankfurters (mg ma/kg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>0th day</th>
<th>60th day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>0.45±0.32</td>
<td>0.50±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5AP</td>
<td>0.55±0.21</td>
<td>0.57±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10AP</td>
<td>0.52±0.11</td>
<td>0.59±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15AP</td>
<td>0.37±0.65</td>
<td>0.60±0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 Sensory properties of frankfurter samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>7.2±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juiciness</td>
<td>6.8±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>6.9±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour intensity</td>
<td>7.1±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall acceptability</td>
<td>7.0±0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 6 Textural parameters of frankfurter samples

---

Table 7 TBARS values of frankfurters (mg ma/kg)

---

Table 8 Sensory properties of frankfurter samples

---

Table 9 Microbiological results of frankfurter samples

---

Table 10 Chemical composition of frankfurter samples
Frankfurters increased significantly except 5AP samples. AP at a level of 5% demonstrated antioxidant activity. On 60th day TBARS values were 0.50 mg ma/kg for the control, 0.57, 0.59, and 0.60 mg ma/kg for 5%, 10%, and 15% AP added samples. All frankfurter samples had TBARS values within acceptable limits. Verma and Sahoo (2013) indicated malonaldehyde concentrations between 1000 and 2000 μg/kg were threshold values for rancidity.

The phenolics compounds present in apricot may be the reason for its antioxidant ability. Hydroxycinnamnic acids such as cafferic, B coumaric and ferulic acids and their esters are the most common phenolics in apricot. Akbulut and Artik (2002) reported that (+) – catechin is the most common phenolics in the apricot cultivars which dry well in Turkey.

Other authors have reported the antioxidant potential of naturally occurring plant extracts rich in phenolic compounds when incorporated in cooked meat products (Nuñez de Gonzalez et al., 2009; Yıldız-Turp and Serdaroglu, 2010; López-Vargas et al., 2014).

Sensory Evaluation
Sensory evaluation results are presented in Table 8, to evaluate appearance and colour of all samples, attributes of homogenous appearance and typical frankfurter colour (pink colour) were considered. 15% AP addition caused a decrease in appearance and colour scores, other treatments had similar scores with control. The decrease in colour scores may be because samples containing high AP concentration showed an increase in the light brown shade as the amount of carotenoid pigment and dilution of cured pigment.

Control samples had the highest scores for juiciness. AP addition caused a decrease (P<0.05) in juiciness which could be related to the proportional reduction of meat and fat in Frankfurter formulation, juiciness is the total mouth feel of both fat and water. Fernandez-Gines et al. (2004) had similar results for bologna sausages with lemon albedo. AP addition at a level of 15% decreased flavor intensity that could be due to a masking effect of AP upon meaty odor perception.

Garcia et al. (2002) had similar results in their research using different fruit and cereal fibers and different fat ratios used in fermented sausages. In the case of texture, the results obtained were as expected due to those obtained with the instrumental analysis. Increasing AP concentration in Frankfurter formulation resulted decrement in texture scores (P<0.05). 15% AP added frankfurters had lowest texture scores. Similar to our results Lee and Ahn (2005) reported that incorporated turkey breast roll with dried plum extract decreased hardness scores. AP addition resulted softening in texture, similarly, Garcia et al. (2009) reported that texture scores decreased in burgers formulated with 6% dry tomato peel. The samples to which 5% or 10% AP had been added were best appreciated by the panelists.

Conclusion
As a conclusion, the results obtained in this study show that apricot pomace successfully add (until 10%) to emulsion type meat products such as frankfurters. Using of 5 or 10 % apricot pomace improved cooking properties and had no negative effect on the physical and sensory properties assessed of frankfurters.
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