Determination of The Most Suitable Type of Fresh Milk in Istanbul Province
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ABSTRACT

The data in this study was provided from 400 households in the Kucukcekmece District of Istanbul Province in 2011. Unclustered Proportional Sampling Method was used to determine the sample size of the study. The primary objective of this study was to identify the most suitable type of milk for consumers. In determining the most appropriate type, four criteria were taken into account. These criteria are hygiene, shelf-life, nutritional content, and price. Based on the data, milk consumption was 30.2 L per annum per capita in Istanbul Province. The households consist of about 3.7 individuals, and they give importance to hygiene by 26.4 percent, shelf-life by 26.7 percent, nutritional content by 29.6 percent and price by 17.2 percent. 55.3 percent of the households prefer UHT (long of shelf-life Tetra Pac packaged milk which heat-treated range of 135-150°C in a short period of 2-4 seconds) milk, 28.5 percent prefer Pasteurized milk, and 16.2 percent prefer Raw milk. Considering these criteria, the most suitable type of milk for the consumers was UHT milk. As a result, the national companies marketing pasteurized milk in the research area need advertisement works that inform the consumers regarding pasteurized milk.
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Introduction

Nutrition is a physiological phenomenon which has a significant role in providing the energy required for the continuation of metabolic functions in the body (Orak et al., 2006). Such needs as eating, housing and dressing are one of the most important basic needs of the human beings. It is mandatory to consume adequately the foods of plant and animal origin needed by the human metabolism to maintain a healthy and balanced life (Candemir, 2006). Individuals must eat adequately; have a balanced and healthy diet and gain correct eating habits to maintain their lives in better life quality. One of the significant products of nutrition is milk. Milk is needed for the continuation of human life at each stage from birth to the end of life (Asik, 2006). Also, it is one of the essential foods in the feeding of human beings. According to FAO (2016), milk production and trade in the worldwide are 830.5 and 71.8 million tonnes, respectively in 2017. Also, Turkey is in the ninth place among the countries in the milk production, and it produces approximately 2.4% of the world milk production (FAO, 2017).

Milk contains many minerals, and especially protein, fat, vitamins and calcium (Chapman and Boor, 2001; Uzundumlu, 2011; Lee, 2016; Wanjala et al., 2017). As percent the mineral content of cow milk is as follows: water: 87.6, carbohydrate: 4.7, fat: 3.8, protein: 3.3 and minerals:0.6 (Demirci and Simsek, 1997; Simsek et al., 2005; Unal and Besler, 2006). However, milk face to face many unfavorable situations from milking to until reaching the consumer. These factors are influencing the nutrition and hygiene status of milk. It is food which can be deteriorated very quickly from production to transportation, storage, processing, and distribution phase (Sarkar, 2015; Wanjala et al., 2017). Due to poor conditions, the shelf-life of milk is shortened by bacteria (Fromm and Boor, 2004). Three processing techniques are performed to prevent the decay of the milk. These techniques are Boiling (15-20 minutes to keep the boiling point), Low-Temperature Long Time (Pasteurization) and High-Temperature Short Time (Sterilization) (Gedam et al., 2007; Uzundumlu, 2011; Lee, 2016).

In scientific studies, it is emphasized that individuals should drink 400-800 ml milk on a daily basis. Fresh milk is consumed as raw and processed (pasteurized and sterilized) in daily nutrition. While most people like hot milk, some people also like it cold. While the consumption of cold milk is generally in processed milk, the hot milk consumption is being at raw milk. Apart from fresh milk, it is also consumed as yogurt, buttermilk, cheese, butter, and milk pudding, etc. (Uzundumlu, 2011).

While the annual liquid milk consumption per capita is determined as 60-170 kg in developed countries, it is determined as 2-40 kg in developing countries (Hatirli et al., 2004). Milk consumption is also regarded as a development measure, and the consumption of milk and dairy products are generally higher in healthily and adequately nourished communities. Milk is consumed in various ways as milk and dairy products in daily life. The best benefit way from the milk is to use it as drinking. The reason for this is that particular nutritional values are lost when milk is turned into other products (Demirici and Simsek, 1997; Cetinkaya, 2010).

In this study, the milk consumption behaviors of households, the relation between the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics and their preferences of milk were analyzed econometrically, and the aim was to identify the most suitable type of milk in Kucukcekmece district of Istanbul Province.

It is expected that the information obtained from this study will be valuable to makers and retailers producing and marketing pasteurized, sterilized milk and their products. In the light of this, it is considered that the research results will make significant contributions to the marketing strategies of the national and local companies operating in the sector.

Material and Methods

Material

The primary material of the research was obtained by conducting face-to-face interviews with 400 consumers in January-February-March-April 2011 in Kucukcekmece district of Istanbul Province. As the second data source, many local and foreign studies were examined, and the survey forms of this research were prepared with the questions in compliance with the objective of the study.

Methods

With the information obtained from the municipalities and neighborhood Reeves, the number of surveys to be conducted in each area were determined using the “Unclustered Proportional Sampling Method” taking into account the rate of households (Collins, 1986; Uzundumlu, 2011).

\[ n = \frac{(1)^2}{(e)^2} \times (p \times q) \]

\[ t : t \text{ table value corresponding to 95 percent significance level (1.96)} \]

\[ p : \text{Probability of occurrence of the event in question} \]

\[ q : \text{Probability of non-occurrence of the event in question} \]

\[ e : \text{Error accepted in the sampling (0.05)} \]

So, when there is no information about the desired situation (p) and undesired situation (q) when p or q is 50 percent to 50 percent according to this formula, the maximum sample size is 384.

\[ n = \frac{(1.96)^2}{(0.05)^2} \times (0.5 \times 0.5) = 384.2 \]

400 surveys were conducted in Kucukcekmece district taking into account the size of the population. The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) method was used in determining the most suitable type of milk by assessing the survey results.
Results

Socio-Economic and Demographic Structure of the Consumers

Ratios of female and male consumers were 59.8 percent, and 40.2 percent, respectively. The consumers involved in the survey were in the age group of 16-73. 46.8 percent of the users consisted of individuals at the age of 30, and below, 29.4 percent of them consisted of the individuals between the ages of 31 and 45, and 23.8 percent consisted of the age group of 46 years and above. Monthly average income, expense and milk expenditure of the households are TRY 2,462.9, 2,336.6, and 11.5, respectively and milk consumption is 30.2 L per annum per capita. As the income rises, the milk consumption, and thus the expenditure on milk will increase.

Determination of the most suitable type of milk with AHP

The AHP method is a method based on binary comparisons. The first step is to determine the criteria and their sub-criteria for a particular purpose and to form the hierarchical structure. AHP scientifically helps the decision-maker to make the best choice by prioritizing the alternatives and the criteria affecting those (Scholl et al., 2005). First, the objective of the study was advised in the AHP method. The purpose of the paper was to identify the most suitable type of milk for the consumers. After the goal of the study is determined, the criteria are outlined in the second stage. In this study, the criteria are price, hygiene, nutritional content and shelf life. After the criteria were determined, the options are listed under the criteria, and a decision tree is created as the last stage. In this study, the consumers have three milk alternatives, which were UHT, pasteurized and raw milk. The decision tree to determine the most suitable type of milk in Kucukcekmece district of Istanbul Province is shown in Figure 1.

In Table 1, the statistics explaining the options of the AHP method are provided. UHT milk is suitable for 55.2 percent of the households, Pasteurized milk for 28.5 percent, and Raw milk is suitable for 16.3 percent of the families (Figure 2).

Priorities of the criteria

In Table 2, the summary statistics of the AHP method of the consumers are provided. Criteria given importance by consumers are nutritional content, shelf life, hygiene, and price, respectively. Percent of these criteria are 29.6, 26.7, 26.4, and 17.2, respectively.

Figure 1 Decision tree in determining the right type of milk

Table 1 Explanatory statistics of the AHP options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of milk</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHT</td>
<td>0.5518</td>
<td>0.2065</td>
<td>0.0510</td>
<td>0.8182</td>
<td>0.6229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteurized</td>
<td>0.2850</td>
<td>0.1554</td>
<td>0.0515</td>
<td>0.8189</td>
<td>0.2335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw milk</td>
<td>0.1632</td>
<td>0.1764</td>
<td>0.0515</td>
<td>0.8197</td>
<td>0.0845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of the AHP criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>0.1723</td>
<td>0.1470</td>
<td>0.0269</td>
<td>0.7500</td>
<td>0.1358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional Value</td>
<td>0.2964</td>
<td>0.1292</td>
<td>0.0481</td>
<td>0.7026</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene</td>
<td>0.2641</td>
<td>0.1038</td>
<td>0.0339</td>
<td>0.6063</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelf life</td>
<td>0.2672</td>
<td>0.1447</td>
<td>0.0339</td>
<td>0.7519</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Comparative averages of the AHP criteria and options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>UHT</th>
<th>Pasteurized</th>
<th>Raw milk</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>0.49062</td>
<td>0.30058</td>
<td>0.20879</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional value</td>
<td>0.53603</td>
<td>0.29340</td>
<td>0.17058</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene</td>
<td>0.57315</td>
<td>0.29246</td>
<td>0.13440</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelf life</td>
<td>0.61048</td>
<td>0.25440</td>
<td>0.13512</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.21028</td>
<td>1.14084</td>
<td>0.64889</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (percent)</td>
<td>55.26</td>
<td>28.52</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to price criteria in Table 3, 49.1 percent of the users stated that they prefer UHT milk, 30.0 percent pasteurized milk, and 20.9 percent raw milk. If we pay attention, while there is no significant difference in the milk type preference of the consumers, UHT milk took the first place by a long way, and this was followed by pasteurized milk and raw milk. According to the nutritional value criteria, consumers prefer UHT milk at 53.6 percent, pasteurized milk at 29.3 percent, and raw milk at 17.1 percent. According to hygiene criteria, they prefer UHT milk at 57.3 percent, pasteurized milk at 29.3 percent, and raw milk at 13.4 percent. Moreover, according to the shelf-life criteria, they prefer UHT milk at 61.1 percent, pasteurized milk at 25.4 percent, and raw milk at 13.5 percent. Consequently, as a result of the average of these criteria and options in Kucukcekmece district, UHT was more suitable for 55.3 percent, pasteurized milk at 28.5 percent, and raw milk at 16.2 percent. Thus, the most appropriate type of milk for the consumers was UHT milk in Kucukcekmece district.

Figure 2 Household milk preferences

(a) Raw milk

(b) Pasteurized milk

(c) UHT milk

Figure 3 The degree of the importance of factors influencing household milk preference

The matrix of criteria and options

In Table 3, the comparative averages of the AHP criteria and options from the consumers are provided.

According to price criteria in Table 3, 49.1 percent of the users stated that they prefer UHT milk, 30.0 percent pasteurized milk, and 20.9 percent raw milk. If we pay attention, while there is no significant difference in the

Discussion

The households in the research area consisted of 3.7 individuals, and sex ratios of them were 59.8 percent and 40.2 percent for females and males. Respondents of the study were 16 and 71 age range. The annual fresh milk consumption of the households was 111.7 L, so milk consumption was 30.2 L per annum, per capita. The consumption of milk in Erzurum Province (Uzundumlu, 2011) found 24.2 L per annum per capita and (Simsek et al., 2005), in the Istanbul Province found 34.0 L per capita. Like Brazil, Australia, and New Zealand in some Western countries, liquid milk consumption was about 50 to 100 L per annum per capita (Karenzi, 2015). As the income of households increases, milk consumption and expenditure by the income groups also increase. In developed countries, milk consumption is between 50-100 L per capita. Although milk was a very significant nutrient in human nutrition, the fresh milk consumption was minimal in Kucukcekmece district just like the rest of Turkey, when compared to the developed countries. Thus, studies should be carried out to increase milk consumption of the consumers in Turkey.

When the factors affecting the milk buying of the consumers were examined into four groups; the price, hygiene, nutritional content, and shelf-life help determine their milk choice. In the study, the orders of the importance of the criteria were nutritional content (29.6%), shelf-life (26.7%), hygiene (26.4%), and price (17.2%), respectively. Lu Hsu and Lin (2006) determined that users in China given more importance to the...
nutritional content of these criteria. As mentioned in 
(Uzundumlu et al., 2018) for shelf-life and brand for 
individuals living in the province of Erzurum are the most 
important criteria. Also, Hatirli et al. (2004) said that 
when consumers decide which type of milk to buy, they 
see the price as the most crucial factor. Robb et al. (2007) 
as mentioned in this study, because American consumers 
were overweight the most important criterion for their 
milk selection is low-fat content. Bekele et al. (2016) said 
that Ethiopian consumers generally preferred whole milk 
because especially the elderly think that whole milk was 
more beneficial for health even if they were 
comprehensively informed about benefits of the 
alternative milk. Andersen and Smed (2012), obtained 
that Danish consumers preferred high-fat milk, and they 
pay attention to price more than nutrition content whereas 
Cash et al. (2005) found that Canadian consumers 
preferred nutrition content in fatty milk choice but mate 
group and negative advertising made on the health of the 
fat milk has been reducing the demand for these milk. 
Also, Wayua et al. (2009) determined that the taste 
criteria is more important than the nutrition content in 
Kenya.

When consumers decide which milk to buy, they 
consider four criteria for alternative milk. These criteria 
are the price, hygiene, nutritional content, and shelf-life. 
The first of alternative milk is raw milk. Variables that the 
households give importance to raw milk consumption 
were price by 32.2 percent, the food content with 26.3 
percent, the shelf life with 20.8 percent, and the hygiene 
with 20.7 percent, respectively in this study. Raw milk 
consumption instance in Turkey is relatively common. So, 
similar results have been obtained in many studies on raw 
milk in Turkey. Pazarlioglu et al. (2007) indicate that 
other people think raw milk’s nutrient content is higher than 
other milk. Also, according to Akbay and Tiryaki (2008), 
consumers thought that raw milk is cheaper, healthier, 
more hygienic and more accessible to reach than other milk. 
Yayar (2012) said that consumers prefer farm milk 
due to misinformation on which raw milk is purer, fresher 
and more natural. Because some consumers prefer it 
owing to cheaper and delivered at the doorstep and no 
additional cost according to processed milk (Akbay and 
Tiryaki, 2008; Ozel, 2008; Uzundumlu 2011; Yayar 
2012). Also, Ozel (2008) determined that the person 
of households buys raw milk from the familiar and akin 
persons. The households generally use raw milk in 
making yogurt. The families prefer raw milk as fresh 
milk, but concern about the hygiene of the raw milk, the 
difficulties of finding raw milk from a reliable place, and 
the fact that processed milk does not require boiling and 
longer shelf-life of it affect raw milk using negatively. 
Thus, 16.2 percent of the households generally prefer raw 
milk as drinking milk. This rate determined as 27.9 
percent by Ozel (2008), as 29.3 percent by Uzundumlu 
(2011), as 16.6 percent by Karakaya and Akbay (2013) 
and as 23.6 percent by Kaya (2016).

The second one is pasteurized milk. The criteria given 
more importance of the consumer in pasteurized milk 
were price by 26.4 percent, the food content with 25.7 
percent, and the hygiene with 25.6 percent and the shelf 
life with 22.3 percent, respectively in this study. 
According to Watanabe et al. (1999), major positive 
factors affecting changes in fluid milk consumption 
included health and taste preferences by consumers. Bus 
and Worsley (2003) suggests that shoppers widely 
perceive milk’s health effects to fit into five themes 
related to, weight control, disease prevention, bone health, 
and causation of allergy and illness. The families 
consumming pasteurized milk think that the nutritional 
value of this type of milk is higher than the other milk and 
prefer this milk when it is cheap. However, the main 
reason why they do not prefer pasteurized milk is that its 
shelf-life is short. 28.5 percent of the families generally 
prefer pasteurized milk as drinking milk. This rate 
determined as 7.3 percent by Uzundumlu (2011), as 15.2 
percent by Karakaya and Akbay (2013) and as 52.9 
percent by Kaya (2016).

The last one is UHT milk. The criteria given more 
importance of the consumer in UHT milk were the shelf 
life with by 27.6 percent, the hygiene with 25.9 percent, 
and the food content with 24.3 percent and price with 22.2 
percent, respectively in this study. The most crucial factor 
in the preference of UHT milk of the families is that it is 
prepared quickly, and it has a long shelf life. Furthermore, 
UHT milk consumption of the society has increased by 
degrees as they are far away from their villages and have 
adapted to the city life. Moreover, a vast majority of the 
households think that UHT milk is more hygienic and has 
a higher nutritional value when compared to other milk, 
and also acknowledge that its price is more reasonable 
than other milk. A vast majority of the households (55.3 
percent) prefer UHT milk for drinking. This rate 
determined as 63.4 percent by Uzundumlu (2011), as 68.2 
percent by Karakaya and Akbay (2013). UHT is the first 
in the world processed milk market and 94.8 percent, and 
5.2 percent of world processed milk constitutes UHT 
milk, pasteurized milk respectively IPSOS (2014). As in 
the world, UHT milk consumption in Turkey is more 
common than the other two milk types.

As a result, UHT milk was determined to be the most 
suitable type of fresh milk in Kucukcekmece district of 
Istanbul Province. Thus, the consumers were informed 
making the necessary explanations while conducting the 
surveys as the consumers are not adequately informed 
about pasteurized milk and generally confuse it with UHT 
milk. For this reason, the companies selling pasteurized 
milk should promote this type of milk and carry out 
training and advertisement works in order to give 
information about it. Also, fresh milk consumption per 
capita may be increased by providing information to the 
customers about the benefits of milk consumption and 
making children, the next generations, like the milk with 
such events as the 21st of May, the World Milk Day.

Conclusion

Although Turkey has been ranked the ninth biggest 
milk producer country in the World, annual milk 
consumption is not at the desired level with 30-50 kg per 
capita. For this reason, there is a necessity to studies on 
increasing milk production and consumption in Turkey. 
There are three milk type alternatives for Turkish people 
these are raw, pasteurized and UHT milk. The most 
important variables for each milk preference are shelf life, 
nutritional value, hygiene, and price. When these criteria
are taken into consideration, UHT milk for 55.3 percent, pasteurize milk for 28.5 percent and raw milk for 16.2 percent of consumers are more suitable in the study area. While households gave more importance to price and nutrient content at raw and pasteurized milk, shelf life and hygiene content at UHT milk. If firms deliver milk to consumers by taking these criteria into account and delivering each type of milk in conditions appropriate for consumers will be maximum consumer benefits.
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